IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 6297 of 2003

Between:

Y.Lakshmi Archana d/o Y.Venkateswarlu
D.No.25-11-24, 3rd Line.,Telegapalem, Ponnur - 522124.,
Guntur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. Government of A.P., represented by Principal Secretary., H.M. &

F.W. Dept., A.P.Secretariat., Hyderabad.
2. The State Council of Higher Education, represented

by its Convenor, Engineering, Technology and

Pharmacy Admissions, 2002.
3. Sibar Institute of Dental Sciences,

Takkellapadu, Guntur District, represented by its Principal.
4 Viswabharathi College of Pharmaceutical Sciences,

Perecherla., Guntur District, represemted by its principal
5 N.T.R. University of Health Sciences,

Vijayawada, represented by its Registrar

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein, the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of *Writ of Mandamus* by declaring the action of the 4th respondent in detaining the original Certificates of the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary, violative of Article 14 and 21 of Constitution of India and violative of principles of natural justice and consequentially direct the 2nd and 4th respondents to return the original Certificates of the Petitioner

submitted at the time of Counseling to enable her to submit the same to the 3rd respondent and to pass such other order or further orders as the Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.G.K.PRASAD

Counsel for the Respondents 1 & 2: GP FOR MEDICAL HEALTH &

FAMILY WELFARE

Counsel for Respondents 3 & 4 : None appeared

Counsel for Respondent No.5 : Smt. Y. Padmavathi, standing counsel

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Sri Ravikanth, Advocate, representing Sri K.G.K. Prasad, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the cause in the writ petition does not survive. Therefore, no further adjudication is necessary in this writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(G. ROHINI,J)

Date: 02.12.2004

Ksn

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. Principal Secretary., H.M. & F.W. Department,

Government of A.P.,
Secretariat, Hyderabad,

2. The Convenor,

State Council of Higher Education, Engineering, Technology and

Pharmacy Admissions, 2002.

3. Principal Sibar Institute of Dental Sciences,

Takkellapadu, Guntur District
4 Principal, Viswabharathi College of Pharmaceutical Sciences,

Perecherla., Guntur.
5 The Registrar, N.T.R. University of Health Sciences,

Vijayawada.

6. Two C. Cs to G.P. for Medical, Health & Family Welfare, High Court

Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

7. Two CD copies